Case 2:21-cv-00311-MSG_Document 1_Filed 01/22/21 Page 1 of 20 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	PRM.)			180.00 (120.004/4010100/2-90.0	BOALENO BURSEL MOD	ment.c
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Marion Salamon, Margate, NJ 08402				SKF USA, Inc., Lansdale, PA 19446					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Atlantic Cty, NJ (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Fernando I. Rivera, Esquire, Console Mattiacci Law, LLC 1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102 215.545.7676				County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. P	PLAINTIFF CASES O	State of the state	200	PA
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES			
☐ 1 US Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	10000000		TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		PTF 3	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🛭 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	5
·			104763403	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🛭 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature o	of Suit Code De	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	THE RESERVE TO THE RE
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting X42 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPES 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe 423 With 28 U 2	eal 28 USC 158 ddrawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS yrights nt nt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark USECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 375 False Cl: ☐ 376 Qui Tam	aims Act a (31 USC) apportion t t ad Bankin cce tion er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV es/Commo ge atutory Ac ural Acts nental Mata of Inform trative Pro iew or App Decision tionality o	ment ng ced and cions dities/ ctions tters nation ocedure ppeal of
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil S 29 U.S.C. §621, et se Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tatute under which you q. ("ADEA"), 43 P.S. § 9	Reop are filing 951, et seq	(specify) (Do not cite jurisdictional st	atutes unless	15998 	1	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on - ile
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$ In excess of \$75,000.00		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complair No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 01/22/2021 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD					
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG JUD	OGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
215.545.7676	215.754.4938	rivera@consolelaw.com		_
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
01/22/2021	Alil	Marion Salamon		_
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	()	()
commonly referred to as		acks (a) through (d) that are ecial or intense management by led explanation of special	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.)
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.)
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:		
n accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for blaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse ide of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said lesignation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track of which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.				
SKF USA, Inc.	:	NO.		
v.	:			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Marion Salamon

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	Margate, NJ 08402					
Address of Defendant:	890 Forty Foot Road, Lansdale, PA 19446					
	t or Transaction: Lansdale, PA	Lansdale, PA				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number:	Judge:		Date Terminated:			
	d when Yes is answered to any of the follow					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No X previously terminated action in this court?						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No X Pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X						
I certify that, to my knowledg this court except as noted about		ated to any case now pending or v	within one year previously terminated action in			
DATE: 1/22/2021 319009						
	Attorney-o	nt-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a V in one catego	ry only)					
A. Federal Question Cas	es:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction C	Cases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Ca 10. Social Security Rev 11. All other Federal Quality (Please specify):	Relations uses uses uses	2. Airplane Persona 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle P	ation Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty Asbestos			
	ARBITI	AATION CERTIFICATION				
		s to remove the case from eligibility	for arbitration.)			
Fernando I. River	ra, counsel of record <i>or</i> pr	o se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
	ivil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of 150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:		amages recoverable in this civil action case			
X Relief other than mo	onetary damages is sought.	90.0				
DATE:1/22/2021		The Same of Photosist of	319009			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a t	Attorney-c	ith F.R.C.P. 38.	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARION SALAMON

Margate, NJ 08402 : CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff,

SKF USA, INC. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

890 Forty Foot Road Lansdale, PA 19446

v.

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Plaintiff, Marion Salamon, is a former employee of SKF USA Inc. ("Defendant"), who has been discriminated against by Defendant based upon his age (then 65). Despite Plaintiff's positive performance history, Plaintiff was terminated, without warning, after more than 11 years of loyal and dedicated service.

Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. ("ADEA"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended 43 P.S. §951, et seq. ("PHRA"). Plaintiff seeks equitable relief and damages, including economic loss, compensatory damages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other relief this Court deems appropriate.

II. <u>PARTIES</u>

- 1. Plaintiff, Marion Salamon, is a citizen of the State of New Jersey.
- 2. At the time of his termination, Plaintiff was 65 years old.

- 3. Defendant is a corporation and citizen of Pennsylvania corporation with a principal place of business located in Lansdale, Pennsylvania.
- 4. Defendant is engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce and regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Defendant employed more than 20 people, including more than 4 employees within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted by and through its authorized agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant and in furtherance of Defendant's business.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted as an "employer" within the meaning of the statutes which form the bases of this matter.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of the statutes which form the bases of this matter.

III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 9. The causes of action which form the bases of this matter arise under the ADEA and the PHRA.
- 10. The District Court has jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), in that the Plaintiff is a citizen of New Jersey and Defendant is a citizen of Pennsylvania and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, in that Plaintiff has alleged violations of federal law.
- 11. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (ADEA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1332.

- 12. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count II (PHRA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and § 1367.
 - 13. Venue is proper in this District Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
- 14. On or about January 24, 2019, Plaintiff filed a Complaint of Discrimination with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC") complaining of the acts of discrimination alleged herein. The Complaint was cross-filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint of Discrimination (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 15. More than 1 year has passed since Plaintiff filed his Complaint of Discrimination with the PHRC and EEOC.
- 16. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

IV. <u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 17. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant on or about January 15, 2007.
- 18. At the time of Plaintiff's termination from Defendant on November 29, 2018, he had over 11 years of loyal and dedicated service at Defendant.
- 19. Throughout his employment at Defendant, Plaintiff consistently performed his job in a highly competent manner and received positive feedback.
 - 20. At all relevant times, Plaintiff held the position of Key Account Manager.

- 21. As Key Account Manager, Plaintiff reported to Michael Newman ("Newman") (45), Director, Key Accounts-East. Newman reported to Larry Horton ("Horton") (48), Vice President, Original Equipment Manufacture Industrial Sales USA.
 - 22. Horton reported to Brian Weiss ("Weiss") (50), Vice President, Operations.
 - 23. Plaintiff was the oldest employee directly reporting to Newman.
 - 24. While reporting to Newman, Newman often referred to Plaintiff as "old school."
 - 25. Plaintiff understood Newman's comment to be discriminatory based on his age.
- 26. Plaintiff was better qualified for the Key Account Manager position than his peers who directly reported to Newman.
- 27. On November 29, 2018, without any notice or warning, in a meeting with Horton and Bart Bartholomew ("Bartholomew") (52), Vice President, People Operations, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment, effective November 30, 2018 (the "Termination Meeting").
- 28. After the Termination Meeting, Plaintiff was immediately escorted from the premises.
- 29. Prior to the Termination Meeting, Plaintiff had no indication that his job was in jeopardy.
 - 30. Defendant's stated reason for Plaintiff's termination was job elimination.
- 31. During the Termination Meeting, Plaintiff asked why he was being terminated despite his strong sales numbers, which increased 12% from the previous year and while the business appeared to be growing.
 - 32. In response, Horton stated that Defendant was going to try a new approach.

_

¹ All ages herein are approximations.

- 33. At the Termination Meeting, Defendant provided Plaintiff with a Separation Agreement and General Release, which included an "Employment Termination Program Disclosure."
- 34. The Employment Termination Program Disclosure stated that the "Eligibility Factors" considered for selecting individuals for termination were based on "either ... seniority, elimination of ... job[,] or performance."
- 35. Defendant terminated the following employees who were then reporting directly to Newman: Michael Sacks ("Sacks") (55), Key Account Manager; Todd Walters ("Walters") (61), Key Account Manager; and Plaintiff (65).
- 36. Plaintiff had no documented disciplinary or performance issues throughout his employment at Defendant.
- 37. Defendant did not offer Plaintiff a downgraded position or a position with reduced pay before terminating his employment.
- 38. All 72 employees that Defendant retained in the Decisional Unit, defined as "[a]ll employees in the position of Account Manager," when Plaintiff was terminated, were younger than Plaintiff.
- 39. All 12 employees that Defendant terminated in the Decisional Unit, when Plaintiff was terminated, were above the age of 50, including 5 over the age of 60.
 - 40. Defendant retained all 30 employees under the age of 50 in the Decisional Unit.
- 41. As a result of his termination, Defendant assigned a portion of Plaintiff's job duties, including his largest customer account, to Scott Herrala ("Herrala") (52), Industry/Program Manager.

- 42. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's other accounts were reassigned to substantially younger employees.
- 43. Plaintiff was more qualified to perform his job duties than Herrala and/or the younger employees who took over his accounts.
- 44. Defendant's stated reasons for terminating Plaintiff's employment are pretext for age discrimination.
- 45. Defendant had an Early Retirement Program and provided financial incentives for employees age 55 and above to leave the workforce.
- 46. Defendant's Early Retirement Program targeted and paid only employees over the age of 55 to leave their employment at Defendant.
- 47. The reduction in force that Defendant conducted on or about November 29, 2018, targeted older employees.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 49. Plaintiff's age was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant's decision to terminate his employment.
- 50. Defendant acted with malice and/or reckless indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights.
- 51. The conduct of Defendant, as set forth herein, was willful under the circumstances and warrants the imposition of liquidated damages.

6

52. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

COUNT I—VIOLATION OF THE ADEA

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the above paragraphs as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 54. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant has violated the ADEA.
- 55. Defendant's violations of the ADEA were intentional and willful under the circumstances, warranting the imposition of liquidated damages.
- 56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the ADEA, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries, damages and losses set forth herein.
- 57. Plaintiff is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.
- 58. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.
 - 59. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

COUNT II—VIOLATION OF THE PHRA

- 60. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the above paragraphs as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 61. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant violated the PHRA.

- 62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 63. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injuries and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
 - 64. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks damages and legal and equitable relief in connection with Defendant's improper conduct, and specifically prays that the Court grant the following relief to the Plaintiff by:

- (a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the ADEA;
- (b) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the PHRA;
 - (c) enjoining and permanently restraining the violations alleged herein;
- (d) entering judgment against the Defendant and in favor of the Plaintiff in an amount to be determined;
- (e) awarding compensatory damages to make the Plaintiff whole for all lost earnings, earning capacity and benefits, past and future, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's improper conduct;

(f) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future pain and

suffering, emotional upset, mental anguish, humiliation, and loss of life's pleasures, which Plaintiff

has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's improper conduct;

(g) awarding liquidated damages to Plaintiff;

awarding Plaintiff other such damages as are appropriate under the ADEA

and the PHRA;

(i) awarding Plaintiff the costs of suit, expert fees and other disbursements, and

reasonable attorneys' fees; and,

(h)

(j) granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, proper,

or equitable including other equitable and injunctive relief providing restitution for past violations

and preventing future violations.

Dated: 1/22/2021

CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW

BY: *Fernando I. Rivera*

KATHERINE C. OELTJEN, ESQ. FERNANDO I. RIVERA, ESQ. 1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 545-7676

Attorneys for Plaintiff

9

EXHIBIT A

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT:	: :
MARION SALAMON	Docket No.
v.	
RESPONDENT:	; ;
SKF USA INC.	; ; ;
1. The Complainant herein is:	
Name:	Marion Salamon
Address:	
2. The Respondent herein is:	
Name:	SKF USA Inc.
Address:	890 Forty Foot Road Lansdale, PA 19446
3. I, Marion Salamon, the Com	plainant herein, allege that I was subjected to unlawful
discrimination because of my age (6	5) as set forth below:
Discrimination	
A. I specifically allege:	
[1] I was hired by	Respondent on or about January 15, 2007. I had more than
eleven (11) years of service at Respo	ondent.

- [2] I consistently performed my job duties in a highly competent manner, and received positive feedback.
 - [3] I last held the position of Key Account Manager.
- [4] I last reported to Michael Newman (45¹), Director, Key Accounts East.

 Newman reported to Larry Horton (48), Vice President, Original Equipment Manufacture

 Industrial Sales USA. Horton reported to Brian Weiss (50), Vice President, Operations.
- [5] In or about March 2016, Respondent failed to promote me to Director, Key Accounts East. I was qualified for the position. I had no opportunity to apply for the position because the position was not posted. If the position had been posted, I would have applied for it. Instead of promoting me, Respondent promoted Newman (45).
- [6] I was more qualified than the substantially younger employee who was promoted to the Director, Key Accounts East position instead of me.
- [7] Respondent provided no explanation for failing to promote me to the Director, Key Accounts East position.
 - [8] Respondent failed to promote me because of my age.
- [9] In or about March 2016, I began reporting to Newman (45) and indirectly reporting to Horton (48).
- [10] I was the oldest employee directly reporting to Newman (45), and one of the oldest employees indirectly reporting to Horton (48).
- [11] Newman (45) often referred to me as "old school." I understood the comment to be discriminatory based on my age.
- [12] At the time of my termination, the following employees were directly reporting to Newman (45): Michael Wixted (50), Key Account Manager; Robert Leimkuhler

¹ All ages herein are approximations.

- (52), Key Account Manager; Michael Sacks (55), Key Account Manager; James Kidd (60), Key Account Manager; Todd Walters (61), Key Account Manager; and me (65), Key Account Manager. I am more qualified to perform all of these employees' positions.
- Bartholomew (52), Vice President, People Operations, Respondent terminated my employment, effective November 30, 2018. I was blindsided. Before the termination meeting, I had no indication that my job was in jeopardy. The stated reason for my termination was job elimination. I asked why I was being terminated; my numbers were strong, and had increased 12% from last year, and business was growing. Horton stated that Respondent was going to try a new approach. I was escorted out of the building.
- [14] The documents that I was given by Respondent stated the following under "Eligibility Factors": "This is an involuntary program. All persons being terminated on November 30, 2018 are selected for the program. You have been selected by [Respondent] to participate in the Program based on either your seniority, elimination of your job or performance."
- [15] Respondent's stated reasons for terminating my employment are pretext for age discrimination and/or statements made because of my age.
 - [16] Respondent terminated my employment because of my age.
- [17] Respondent offered no explanation, including the selection criteria, as to why I was terminated and the younger employees were retained.
- [18] Respondent terminated the following employees who had been reporting to Newman: Sacks (55); Walters (61); and me (65).

- [19] Respondent assigned a portion of my job duties, including my significant customer account, to Scott Herrala (52), Industry / Program Manager. I am more qualified to perform my job duties, and handle the significant customer account, than the substantially younger employee who was retained and assigned my job duties.
- [20] Respondent recently terminated the following older employees: Robert Defelice (72), Territory Sales Manager; Stephen Curtis (66), Manager Wind Energy; David Yarborough (63), Territory Sales Manager; Robert Cook (58), Territory Sales Manager; Christopher Morris (56), Manager; William Lowack (55), Territory Sales Manager.
 - [21] I had no disciplinary or performance issues throughout my employment.
- [22] Respondent did not offer me a downgraded position or a position with reduced pay before terminating my employment.
- [23] All seventy-two (72) employees that Respondent retained in the Decisional Unit, defined as "[a]Il employees in the position of Account Manager," when I was terminated, are younger than I am.
- [24] All twelve (12) employees that Respondent terminated in the Decisional Unit, when I was terminated, are age fifty (50) and above, and five (5) are age sixty (60) and above.
- [25] Respondent retained all thirty (30) employees in the Decisional Unit, when I was terminated, under age fifty (50).
- [26] Respondent had an Early Retirement Program, and provided financial incentives for employees age fifty-five (55) and above to leave the workforce. Respondent targets older, and not younger, employees and pays older, and not younger, employees to leave their employment at Respondent.

	[27]	Respondent's conduct evidences a bias against older employees.
	[28]	Respondent has failed to set forth any legitimate criteria to explain the
disparate i	mpact caus	ed by this reduction in force.
	[29]	I bring this Complaint as a class and pattern and practice Complaint
on behalf	of myself a	and any and all current or former employees of Respondent who are
age forty	(40) and ov	er, and who have been discriminated against based on age in
connectio	n with hiri	ng, promotion, and termination decisions.
	B. Based	on the aforementioned, I allege that Respondent has discriminated against
me becaus	se of my age	e (65), in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as
amended,	29 U.S.C. §	623 et seq. ("ADEA"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as
amended,	43 P.S. § 9:	51, et seq. ("PHRA").
4.	The all	egations in Paragraph 3 hereof constitute unlawful discriminatory practices
in violatio	n of:	
	<u>X</u>	Pennsylvania Human Relations Act (Act of October 27, 1955, P.L.
	744, as an	nended) Section 5 Subsection(s): (a)
	<u></u>	Section 5.1 Subsection(s)
		Section 5.2 Subsection(s)
		Pennsylvania Fair Educational Opportunities Act (Act of July 17, 1961,
	P.L. 766, a	as amended) Section 4 Subsection(s)
5.	Other	action based upon the aforesaid allegations has been instituted by the
Complain	ant in any c	ourt or before any other commission within the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania as follows:

- X This charge will be referred to the EEOC for the purpose of dual filing.
- 6. The Complainant prays that Respondent be required to:
 - (a) Make the Complainant whole.
 - (b) Eliminate all unlawful discriminatory practice(s) and procedure(s).
 - (c) Remedy the discriminatory effect of past practice(s) and procedure(s).
 - (d) Take further affirmative action necessary and appropriate to remedy the violation complained of herein.
 - (e) Provide such further relief as the Commission deems necessary and appropriate.

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 P.A.C.A. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

(Date Signed)

(Signature)

Marion Salamon 50 Allyssa Drive Media, PA 19063